LAHP Application Assessment Criteria

Agreed by CMG, 16 October 2019

	Quality of proposal (50%)	Preparedness of student (25%)	Feasibility of proposed research (25%)
Assessment criteria	 Significance, originality and clarity of research proposal; Underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; Research design, methodology and/or sources; Suitability of proposal for doctoral research; Dissemination and potential impact. 	 Previous academic achievements in relevant subject areas and/or: Relevant professional / practitioner experience (particularly for applicants with non-standard academic trajectories); Relevant knowledge, skills and/or training for proposed research. 	 Likely completion within the timeframe; Identification of training needs; Justification of fieldwork and study visits; Synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.
Evidence from application form and supervisor statement	Research proposal;Non-technical summary;Key references;Supervisor statement	 Academic qualifications (awarded and pending) Professional / practitioner experience Personal statement Supervisor statement 	 Timetable; Additional training needs; Research proposal; Personal statement; Supervisor statement.
6	An outstanding proposal in terms of its significance, originality and clarity; its underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; its research design, methodology and/or sources; its suitability for doctoral research; its plans for dissemination and potential impact. The proposal merits the very highest priority for funding.	A student of outstanding preparedness to complete the proposed research, whose previous academic achievements and/or relevant professional / practitioner experience and relevant knowledge, skills and/or training make them outstandingly well-prepared to complete the proposed research.	Outstanding evidence provided for likely completion within the timeframe; identification of training needs; justification of fieldwork and study visits; and synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.
5	An excellent proposal in terms of its significance, originality and clarity; its underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; its research design, methodology	A student of excellent preparedness to complete the proposed research, whose previous academic achievements and/or relevant professional / practitioner experience and relevant knowledge, skills and/or training make them exceptionally well-prepared to complete the proposed research.	Excellent evidence provided for likely completion within the timeframe; identification of training needs; justification of fieldwork and study visits; and synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.
4	A very good proposal in terms of its significance, originality and clarity;	A student of good preparedness to complete the proposed research,	Good evidence provided for likely completion within the timeframe;

	its underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; its research design, methodology and/or sources; its suitability for doctoral research; its plans for dissemination and potential impact. The proposal is worthy of consideration for funding.	whose previous academic achievements and/or relevant professional / practitioner experience and relevant knowledge, skills and/or training make them well-prepared to complete the proposed research.	identification of training needs; justification of fieldwork and study visits; and synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.
3	A satisfactory proposal in terms of its significance, originality and clarity; its underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; its research design, methodology and/or sources; its suitability for doctoral research; its plans for dissemination and potential impact. In a competitive context the proposal is not considered of a sufficient quality to recommend for funding.	A student of satisfactory preparedness to complete the proposed research, whose previous academic achievements and/or relevant professional / practitioner experience and relevant knowledge, skills and/or training make them prepared to complete the proposed research.	Satisfactory evidence provided for likely completion within the timeframe; identification of training needs; justification of fieldwork and study visits; and synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.
2	An inconsistent proposal in terms of its significance, originality and clarity; its underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; its research design, methodology and/or sources; its suitability for doctoral research; its plans for dissemination and potential impact. As a result of the flaws or weaknesses identified, the proposal is not considered to be of fundable quality.	A student of inconsistent preparedness to complete the proposed research, whose previous academic achievements and/or relevant professional / practitioner experience and relevant knowledge, skills and/or training are of insufficient quality or may not provide sufficient preparation to complete the proposed research.	Inconsistent, weak and/or flawed evidence provided for likely completion within the timeframe; identification of training needs; justification of fieldwork and study visits; and synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.
1	An unsatisfactory proposal in terms of its significance, originality and clarity; its underpinning ideas, aims and research questions; its research design, methodology and/or sources; its suitability for doctoral research; its plans for dissemination and potential impact. The proposal is not suitable for funding.	A student of unsatisfactory preparedness to complete the proposed research, whose previous academic achievements and/or relevant professional / practitioner experience and relevant knowledge, skills and/or training do not provide sufficient preparation to complete the proposed research.	Unsatisfactory and/or unconvincing evidence provided for likely completion within the timeframe; identification of training needs; justification of fieldwork and study visits; and synergy with proposed supervisors' research and wider intellectual culture of the department, HEI and LAHP.